

1 William A. Markham, CA State Bar No. 132970
Dorn Graham Bishop, CA State Bar No. 147994
2 Rashida Khan, CA State Bar No. 196420
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM MARKHAM, P.C.
3 550 West C Street, Suite 2040
San Diego, CA 92101

4 Tel: (619) 221-4400
5 Fax: (619) 224-3974
E-mail: wm@markhamlawfirm.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant Dandee Company, Inc.
7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**
10

11 INNOVATION VENTURES, LLC et al.)
12)

13 Plaintiffs.)
14)

15 Vs.)
16)

17 ULTIMATE ONE DISTRIBUTING)
CORP. et al.)
18)

19 Defendants)
20)

21 RELATED ACTIONS)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

Case No. 12 Civ. 5354
(KAM) (RLM)

STIPULATION AND ORDER ON
SUBSTITUTION OF ATTORNEYS FOR
THE DAN-DEE DEFENDANTS

Complaint Filed: October 25, 2012
Trial Date: Not set

STIPULATION AND ORDER ON SUBSTITUTION OF
ATTORNEYS FOR DAN-DEE COMPANY, INC.

1 WHEREAS, on October 25, 2012, Plaintiffs initiated the present case, naming various parties
2 as Defendants; and

3 WHEREAS, certain Defendants in the present case have filed third-party claims against Third-
4 Party Defendants Dan-Dee Company, Inc. ("Dan-Dee") and Kevin Attiq; and

5 WHEREAS, October 26, 2012, Plaintiffs initiated a related case in the Northern District of
6 California entitled *Innovation Ventures, LLC v. Pittsburgh Wholesale Grocers, LLC* (12-CV-5523-
7 WHA) (the "California Action,"); and

8 WHEREAS, in the California Action Plaintiffs asserted claims against Dan-Dee, Kevin Attiq,
9 and Fadi Attiq, and they in turn asserted third-party claims against various parties, all of whom are
10 Defendants in the present case; and

11 WHEREAS, on November 15, 2013 the California Action was transferred under 18 U.S.C.
12 §1404(a) from the Northern District of California to this judicial district, where the undersigned
13 signatories understand that the California Action will be forthwith consolidated with the present case
14 for all purposes, including trial; and

15 WHEREAS, in the present case Dan-Dee has been represented until now by William
16 Markham, Dorn Bishop, and Rashida Khan of the Law Offices of William Markham, P.C.; and until
17 its transfer Dan-Dee was represented in the California Action by these same attorneys as well as Jason
18 Eliaser of the Law Offices of William Markham, P.C.; and

19 WHEREAS, until now Kevin Attiq has been represented in the present case by Steven Elia of
20 the Law Offices of Steven Elia, P.C. and Maura Griffin of the Law Office of Maura Griffin
21 (erroneously identified as an attorney of Law Offices of William Markham on the docket for this
22 case); and Kevin Attiq and Fadi Attiq have been represented by these two attorneys in the California
23 Case; and


24 WHEREAS, Dan-Dee, Kevin Attiq, and Fadi Attiq have elected to engage Steven Elia and
25 Maura Griffin to represent all of them in the present case and in the California Action upon its transfer
26 to this Court, so that these attorneys will take the place of William Markham, Dorn Bishop, Rashida
27 Khan, and Jason Eliaser and henceforward represent Dan-Dee in the present case, including the
28 claims transferred from the California Action; and

STIPULATION AND ORDER ON SUBSTITUTION OF
ATTORNEYS FOR DAN-DEE COMPANY, INC.


WHEREFORE, Dan Dee, Kevin Attiq, and Fadi Attiq now give notice and request the Court's approval of the following substitution of attorneys: William Markham, Dorn Bishop, and Rashida Khan of the Law Offices of William Markham, P.C. are hereby replaced and substituted by Steven Elia of the Law Offices of Steven Elia, P.C. and the Law Office of Maura Griffin, who henceforward shall be the attorneys of record for Dan-Dee in the present case and also in the California Action.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.


Dated: November 19, 2013


Fadi Attiq, President
DAN-DEE COMPANY, INC.

Dated: November 19, 2013


Fadi Attiq

Dated: November 19, 2013


Kevin Attiq

Dated: November 19, 2013

/s/ William Markham
William Markham, Esq.
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM MARKHAM, P.C.
Former Attorney for Dan-Dee Company, Inc.

Dated: November 19, 2013

/s/ Dorn Bishop
Dorn Bishop, Esq.
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM MARKHAM, P.C.
Former Attorney for Dan-Dee Company, Inc.

//

//

//

STIPULATION AND ORDER ON SUBSTITUTION OF
ATTORNEYS FOR DAN-DEE COMPANY, INC.

1 Dated: November 19, 2013

/s/ Rashida Khan

2
3 Rashida Khan, Esq.
4 LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM MARKHAM, P.C.
Former Attorney for Dan-Dee Company, Inc.

5 Dated: November 19, 2013

/s/ Steven Elia

6 LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN ELIA, P.C.
7 New Attorney for Dan-Dee Company, Inc. and
8 Attorney for Kevin Attiq

9 Dated: November 19, 2013

/s/ Maura Griffin

10 LAW OFFICE OF MAURA GRIFFIN
11 New Attorney for Dan-Dee Company, Inc. and
12 Attorney for Kevin Attiq

13 **ORDER ON THE STIPULATION**

14 The Court having reviewed the stipulated substitution of attorneys, which has been given in
15 timely manner, now orders ^{with plaintiffs' consent,} that the above substitution of attorneys be given immediate effect, ^{on}
16 ~~condition that the substitution~~ ^{will cause no delay in} ~~IT IS SO ORDERED.~~ ^{this case.} *Jan*

SO ORDERED:

17 Dated: November __, 2013

/s/

18 Roanne L. Mann
U.S. Magistrate Judge

19 Roanne L. Mann ^{Dated: 11/20/13}
United States Magistrate Judge

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
STIPULATION AND ORDER ON SUBSTITUTION OF
ATTORNEYS FOR DAN-DEE COMPANY, INC.